Dr. Yanjun	Qi /	UVA	CS 6	316/	f15
------------	------	-----	------	------	-----

1

UVA CS 6316 – Fall 2015 Graduate: Machine Learning

Lecture 18: Neural Network / Deep Learning

Dr. Yanjun Qi

University of Virginia

Department of Computer Science



A study comparing Classifiers

An Empirical Comparison of Supervised Learning Algorithms

Rich Caruana Alexandru Niculescu-Mizil

Department of Computer Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 USA

Abstract

A number of supervised learning methods have been introduced in the last decade. Unfortunately, the last comprehensive empirical evaluation of supervised learning was the Statlog Project in the early 90's. We present a large-scale empirical comparison between ten supervised learning methods: SVMs, neural nets, logistic regression, naive bayes, memory-based learning, random forests, decision trees, bagged trees, boosted trees, and boosted stumps. We also examine the effect that calibrating the models via Platt Scaling and Isotonic Regression has on their performance. An important aspect of our study is -----

ALEXN@CS.CORNELL.EDU

CARUANA@CS.CORNELL.EDU

3

comparison of teing eight perform mance of SVMs, bayes, memory-t sion trees, bages stumps on elever a variety of perf Lift, ROC Area break-even poim For each algorit and thoroughly example, we com nets of many size

This paper presents results of a large-scale empirical comparison of ten supervised learning algorithms using eight performance criteria. We evaluate the performance of SVMs, neural nets, logistic regression, naive bayes, memory-based learning, random forests, decision trees, bagged trees, boosted trees, and boosted stumps on eleven binary classification problems using a variety of performance metrics: accuracy, F-score, Lift, ROC Area, average precision, precision/recall break-even point, squared error, and cross-entropy. For each algorithm we examine common variations, and thoroughly explore the space of parameters. For example, we compare ten decision tree styles, neural nets of many sizes, SVMs with many kernels, etc.

Because some of the performance metrics we examine

11/2/15

Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML `06).

A study comparing Classifiers → 11 binary classification problems / 8 metrics

Table 2. Normalized scores for each learning algorithm by metric (average over eleven problems)

MODEL	CAL	ACC	FSC	\mathbf{LFT}	ROC	APR	BEP	RMS	MXE	MEAN	OPT-SEL
BST-DT	PLT	.843*	.779	.939	.963	.938	.929*	.880	.896	.896	.917
RF	PLT	.872*	.805	.934*	.957	.931	.930	.851	.858	.892	.898
BAG-DT	-	.846	.781	.938*	.962*	.937*	.918	.845	.872	.887*	.899
BST-DT	ISO	.826*	.860*	.929*	.952	.921	.925*	.854	.815	.885	.917*
RF	-	.872	.790	.934*	.957	.931	.930	.829	.830	.884	.890
BAG-DT	PLT	.841	.774	.938*	.962*	.937*	.918	.836	.852	.882	.895
RF	ISO	.861*	.861	.923	.946	.910	.925	.836	.776	.880	.895
BAG-DT	ISO	.826	.843*	.933*	.954	.921	.915	.832	.791	.877	.894
SVM	PLT	.824	.760	.895	.938	.898	.913	.831	.836	.862	.880
ANN	-	.803	.762	.910	.936	.892	.899	.811	.821	.854	.885
SVM	ISO	.813	.836*	.892	.925	.882	.911	.814	.744	.852	.882
ANN	PLT	.815	.748	.910	.936	.892	.899	.783	.785	.846	.875
ANN	ISO	.803	.836	.908	.924	.876	.891	.777	.718	.842	.884
BST-DT	-	.834*	.816	.939	.963	.938	.929*	.598	.605	.828	.851
KNN	PLT	.757	.707	.889	.918	.872	.872	.742	.764	.815	.837
KNN	-	.756	.728	.889	.918	.872	.872	.729	.718	.810	.830
KNN	ISO	.755	.758	.882	.907	.854	.869	.738	.706	.809	.844
BST-STMP	PLT	.724	.651	.876	.908	.853	.845	.716	.754	.791	.808
SVM	-	.817	.804	.895	.938	.899	.913	.514	.467	.781	.810
BST-STMP	ISO	.709	.744	.873	.899	.835	.840	.695	.646	.780	.810
BST-STMP	-	.741	.684	.876	.908	.853	.845	.394	.382	.710	.726
DT	ISO	.648	.654	.818	.838	.756	.778	.590	.589	.709	.774
		P	roceedi	ngs of t	he 23rd	Interna	tional				
11/2/15			onferen	-				<u>`06</u>)			4
			omeren		naciline	Lealin		. 00).			

A study comparing Classifiers → 11 binary classification problems

PROBLEM	#ATTR	TRAIN SIZE	TEST SIZE	%poz
ADULT	14/104	5000	35222	25%
BACT	11/170	5000	34262	69%
COD	15/60	5000	14000	50%
CALHOUS	9	5000	14640	52%
COV_TYPE	54	5000	25000	36%
HS	200	5000	4366	24%
LETTER.P1	16	5000	14000	3%
LETTER.P2	16	5000	14000	53%
MEDIS	63	5000	8199	11%
MG	124	5000	12807	17%
SLAC	59	5000	25000	50%
)/1 =		he 23rd Internation Machine Learning (I		

Dr. Yanjun Qi / UVA CS 6316 / f15

5

6

Today

- Basic Neural Network (NN)
- single neuron, e.g. logistic regression unit
- multilayer perceptron (MLP)
- for multi-class classification, softmax layer
- More about training NN

Deep CNN, Deep learning

- History
- Why is this breakthrough ?
- Recent applications



 $P(y=1|x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(\alpha+\beta x)}}$











• Connection Type (e.g.

- Static (feed-forward)
- Dynamic (feedback)

• Topology (e.g.

- Single layer
- Multilayer
- Recurrent
- Recursive
- Self-organized

• Learning Methods (e.g.

- Supervised
- Unsupervised







Multi-class variable ->

Review: Multiclass variable representation

y₂

0

0

0



- classes, there will be K indicator variable y i
- **y**3 **y**4 0 1 0 How to classify to multi-class ? 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 $\widehat{G}(x) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{k \in g} \widehat{f}_k(x)$ $f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x), f_4(x)$

- Strategy I: learn K different regression functions, then max

> Identify the largest component of $\hat{f}(x)$ And Classify according to Bayes Rule

11/2/15

Class

g 3

1

2

4

1

y₁

0

1

0 1

0





Backpropagation

- Using backward recurrence to jointly optimize all parameters
- Requires all activation functions to be differentiable
- Enables flexible design in deep model architecture
- Gradient descent is used to (locally) minimize objective:



Y. LeCun et al. 1998. Efficient BackProp. Olivier Bousquet and Ulrike von Luxburg. 2004. Stochastic Learning.

Dr. Yanjun Qi / UVA CS 6316 / f15

Training a neural network



Given training set (x_1, y_1) , (x_2, y_2) , (x_3, y_3) , Adjust parameters q (for every node) to make: the predicted output close to true label

(Use gradient descent. "Backpropagation" algorithm. Susceptible to local optima.)





to train this layered network. The stacked layers in our network can be written in a more general form of multi-level functions:



 $\begin{aligned} \int_{3}^{\infty} \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_{1}^{2} = \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{2} + \mathcal{O}_{3}^{2} \\ \delta_{2}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha, \sigma_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \\ \mathcal{O}_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-h_{1}}} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial h_{1}} = \mathcal{O}_{1}(\mathcal{H}_{0}) \\ \mathcal{O}_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-h_{2}}} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial h_{1}} = \mathcal{O}_{1}(\mathcal{H}_{0}) \\ \int_{1}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{1}, h_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \\ h_{1}^{2} = \mathcal{W}_{1}^{2} \mathcal{X}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{3}^{2} \mathcal{X}_{2}^{2} + \mathcal{H}_{1} \\ h_{2}^{2} = \mathcal{W}_{2}^{2} \mathcal{X}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{4}^{2} \mathcal{X}_{2}^{2} + \mathcal{H}_{2} \\ \int_{4}^{4} = (\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y})^{2} = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \frac{\partial (\mathcal{W}_{6} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}} \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) (\mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma_{1}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}}) \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}} \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}} \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}} \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}} \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}} \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}} \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}} \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{3}} \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{W}_{5}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{5}}{\partial \mathcal{W}_{5}} \\ = -2(\mathcal{Y}, \hat{Y}) \mathcal{W}_{6}^{2} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{U}_{5}^{2} + \mathcal{U}_{5$





Backpropagation



The ideas of the algorithm can be summarized as follows :

1. Computes the error term for the output units using the observed error.

2. From output layer, repeat

propagating the error term back to the previous layer and
updating the weights between the two layers

until the earliest hidden layer is reached.







Today







11/2/15



51



DESIGN ISSUES for Deep NN

- Data representation
- Network Topology
- Network Parameters
- Training
 - Scaling up with graphics processors
 - Scaling up with Asynchronous SGD
- Validation





Olivier Grisel's talk





Application IV: Deep Learning to Execute and Program

- Google Brain & NYU, October 2014
- RNN trained to map character representations of programs to outputs
- Can learn to emulate a simplistic Python interpreter Limited to one-pass programs with O(n) complexity

11/2/15	Olivier Grisel's talk
* *	Dr. Yanjun Qi / UVA CS 6316 / f15 Cion IV: Deep Learning to ecute and Program
Neura	I Turing Machines
Google Deep	Mind, October 2014 (very new)
Neural Netwo	ork coupled to external memory (tape)
Analogue to	a Turing Machine but differentiable
	to learn to simple programs from ut / output pairs
11/2/15	Olivier Grisel's talk

65

Summary

- Basic Neural Network (NN)
 - single neuron, e.g. logistic regression unit
 - multilayer perceptron (MLP)
 - for multi-class classification, softmax layer
 - More about training NN
- Deep CNN, Deep learning
 - > History
 - ➤ Why is this a breakthrough ?
 - Recent applications

